

Determinants of Effective Population Size for Loci With Different Modes of Inheritance

J. F. Storz, U. Ramakrishnan, and S. C. Alberts

Here we report an assessment of the determinants of effective population size (N_e) in species with overlapping generations. Specifically, we used a stochastic demographic model to investigate the influence of different life-history variables on N_e/N (where N = population census number) and the influence of sex differences in life-history variables on N_e for loci with different modes of inheritance. We applied an individual-based modeling approach to two datasets: one from a natural population of savannah baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*) in the Amboseli basin of southern Kenya and one from a human tribal population (the Gainj of Papua New Guinea). Simulation-based estimates of N_e/N averaged 0.329 for the Amboseli baboon population (SD = 0.116, 95% CI = 0.172 – 0.537) and 0.786 for the Gainj (SD = 0.184, 95% CI = 0.498 – 1.115). Although variance in male fitness had a substantial impact on N_e/N in each of the two primate populations, ratios of N_e values for autosomal and sex-linked loci exhibited no significant departures from Poisson-expected values. In each case, similarities in sex-specific N_e values were attributable to the unexpectedly high variance in female fitness. Variance in male fitness resulted primarily from age-dependent variance in reproductive success, whereas variance in female fitness resulted primarily from stochastic variance in survival during the reproductive phase.

The variance effective size of a population (N_e) can be estimated by measuring demographic parameters that influence the rate of increase in the variance of allelic frequencies (Caballero 1994; Crow and Denniston 1988). Such estimates provide a view of the prospective rate of genetic drift and permit inferences about the current trajectory of microevolutionary change. Effective population size is a parameter of central importance in population genetics and there is a great need for empirical assessments of the demographic determinants of N_e in natural populations. Such assessments are needed to determine whether N_e varies in a predictable fashion according to changes in life-history characteristics within and among species (Frankham 1995; Lande and Barrowclough 1987; Nei and Tajima 1981; Waite and Parker 1996). Estimates of N_e are also of value in testing ideas about the importance of genetic drift relative to other evolutionary forces in species with different modes of population structure (Lande 1979; Wright 1977).

In populations of constant size, N_e is primarily determined by variance in lifetime reproductive success (Wright 1931, 1938).

In age-structured populations, the ratio of N_e to adult census number (N) is expected to fall within the range 0.25–0.75 under most demographic circumstances (Nunney 1993, 1996; Nunney and Elam 1994; Waite and Parker 1996). It has often been assumed that N_e/N for human populations is roughly 1/3 (where the census number N includes all age classes; Jorde 1980). However, this generalization is based on classical theory (Wright 1969) that does not factor in the effects of overlapping generations.

Sex differences in life-history variables also have implications for relative levels of genetic diversity at loci with different modes of inheritance. Under the infinite-sites model of DNA sequence evolution, the equilibrium level of neutral variation is determined by the product of N_e and the mutation rate (Kimura 1971). Thus, in the absence of sex differences in mutation rate, relative levels of diversity at loci with different modes of inheritance are solely determined by relative N_e values. It is common practice to calculate expected levels of diversity at such loci using discrete-generation formulas that assume a Poisson distribution of net lifetime progeny pro-

From the Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, Biosciences West, Tucson, AZ 85721 (Storz), Department of Biology 0116, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093 (Ramakrishnan), and Department of Biology, Duke University, Box 90338, Durham, NC 27708 (Alberts). Address correspondence to Jay F. Storz at the address above or e-mail: storz@email.arizona.edu. This paper was delivered at a symposium entitled "Primate Evolutionary Genetics" sponsored by the American Genetic Association at Town and Country Resort and Convention Center, San Diego, CA, USA, May 19–20, 2001.

© 2001 The American Genetic Association 92:497–502




Figure 1. Influence of the operational sex ratio (N_f/N_m) on effective population size for loci with different modes of inheritance. N_m and N_f are the number of breeding males and females, respectively. Effective sizes for autosomal, X-linked, and mitochondrial loci (N_{eA} , N_{eX} , and N_{eMit} , respectively) were calculated using equations 6.7, 6.8, and 6.15a in Hedrick (2000) with $N_t = (10,000 - N_m)$. Calculations were based on the following assumptions: constant population size, nonoverlapping generations, and Poisson variance in fitness. The vertical dashed line indicates the point at which the curve for N_{eA} intersects the curves for N_{eX} and N_{eMit} (at $N_f/N_m = 7$), indicating that locus-specific N_e values are equivalent at that point. The results are independent of the total population size ($= N_f + N_m$) used in this particular example.

duction for both sexes (Wright 1969). Accordingly, N_e s for Y-linked and mitochondrial loci (N_{eY} and N_{eMit} , respectively) are expected to be one-fourth N_e for autosomal loci (N_{eA}). The expected fourfold reduction in N_e results from a twofold reduction due to haploidy and a further twofold reduction due to uniparental transmission (patrilateral transmission for the Y-chromosome, and matrilineal transmission for mtDNA). Similarly, N_e for X-linked loci (N_{eX}) is expected to be three-fourths N_{eA} due to hemizygoty in males (assuming that males are the heterogametic sex). However, these expectations may not hold up in the presence of non-Poisson variance in reproductive success. This non-Poisson variance can include intersexual variance (due to departure from a 1:1 breeding sex ratio) as well as intrasexual variance (due to the mating system).

For example, in polygynous species characterized by a high variance in male reproductive success, N_e for males is likely to be substantially lower than that for females. Under such circumstances, N_{eX}/N_{eA} will be greater than 0.75, N_{eMit}/N_{eA} will be greater than 0.25, and N_{eY}/N_{eA} will be less than 0.25. As illustrated in Figure 1, relative values of N_{eA} , N_{eX} , and N_{eMit} change as a function of the operational sex ratio (N_f/N_m). Relative N_e values for loci with different modes of inheritance conform to expected values only when N_f/N_m is at unity. When $N_f/N_m > 7$, N_{eX} and N_{eMit} will both exceed N_{eA} (and N_{eMit} will exceed N_{eX} ; Figure 1). Thus, relative N_e values for loci with

different modes of inheritance may be expected to deviate from Poisson-expected values in species characterized by polygynous mating systems and/or skewed sex ratios.

In populations with overlapping generations, age-dependent survival and fertility may provide an additional source of non-Poisson variance in net reproductive success (Charlesworth 1994; Hill 1972; Nunney 1993, 1996). Depending on the life-history characteristics of the species in question, sex differences in age-specific rates of survival and fertility may either offset or exacerbate the disparity in N_e values for loci with different modes of inheritance (Charlesworth 2001). In human population genetics, comparative analyses of loci with different modes of inheritance have figured prominently in studies of demographic history (Harpending and Rogers 2000; Hey 1997; Mesa et al. 2000; Wall and Przeworski 2000) and in studies investigating the role of selection and recombination in shaping patterns of DNA sequence variation (Nachman 2001; Nachman et al. 1998). It is therefore important to formulate sound predictions regarding the equilibrium level of neutral variation at such loci.

Estimating Effective Sizes of Natural Populations

Wright (1931, 1938) formulated the basic theoretical framework that described how N_e is affected by fluctuating population size, breeding sex ratio, and intrasexual

variance in reproductive success. Hill (1972, 1979) derived the relationship that generalized Wright's results to age-structured populations under conditions of demographic equilibrium (see also Emigh and Pollak 1979; Felsenstein 1971; Johnson 1977; Pollak 1980). The model contains one set of parameters related to demographic recruitment and another set related to variance in reproductive success. Application of Hill's (1972, 1979) model to natural populations has been hindered by the difficulty of estimating parameters such as the variance and covariance of lifetime reproductive success among adults of both sexes (Harris and Allendorf 1989; Wood 1987). For long-lived animal species such as primates, only long-term longitudinal studies can provide sufficiently detailed life-history data to estimate the requisite parameters.

Provided that sufficiently detailed life-history data are available (i.e., age-specific rates of survival and fertility), N_e/N can be estimated using an individual-based simulation model (Rockwell and Barrowclough 1995). In contrast to traditional analytical methods, the individual-based simulation approach can be used to obtain confidence intervals for estimates of N_e/N and it provides a means of assessing the effects of demographic stochasticity. Here we report an empirical assessment of the determinants of N_e in age-structured populations. We applied an individual-based modeling approach to two datasets: one from a natural population of savannah baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*) in the Amboseli basin of southern Kenya and one from a human tribal population (the Gainj of Papua New Guinea). The purpose of this study was to investigate the influence of different life-history variables on N_e/N and the influence of sex differences in life-history variables on N_e for loci with different modes of inheritance.

Methods

Each of the primate datasets contained estimates of vital rates (age-specific rates of survival and fertility) for both sexes. Data for the Amboseli baboon population were taken from Alberts and Altmann (2001) and data for the Gainj were taken from Wood (1987), Wood et al. (1985), and Wood and Smouse (1982). Since primates are the focus of this analysis, we are only considering transmission genetics relevant to mammals. However, results for X-linked loci are also generally applicable to any haplodiploid genetic system (Hedrick

Table 1. Simulation-based estimates of variance in lifetime reproductive success (LRS), N_e/N , and $N_e/N_{(adults)}$ for two primate populations

	Variance in LRS (males/females)		N_e/N		$N_e/N_{(adults)}$	
	Mean (SD)	95% CI	Mean (SD)	95% CI	Mean (SD)	95% CI
<i>P. cynocephalus</i>	11.410 (8.445)	4.110–20.664	0.329 (0.116)	0.172–0.537	0.508 (0.185)	0.274–0.854
(Amboseli)	5.202 (3.401)	2.993–14.339				
<i>H. sapiens</i>	10.330 (2.335)	6.765–14.440	0.786 (0.184)	0.498–1.115	1.270 (0.342)	0.873–1.640
(Gainj)	10.691 (5.284)	4.956–19.588				

For each population, estimates of the mean, standard deviation, and 95% confidence interval were based on 2000 Monte Carlo trials.

and Parker 1997). Likewise, results for Y-linked and mitochondrial loci are generally applicable to sex chromosomes and organellar genomes with uniparental inheritance.

Estimation of Lifetime Reproductive Success and N_e

To estimate N_e for each of the two populations, we used an individual-based simulation model to calculate the variance in lifetime reproductive success of both males and females. Each simulation tracked the reproductive fate of each member of a complete age cohort over the course of a complete life cycle (i.e., newborn to newborn). The number of newborns produced by each member of a cohort of newborns was simulated using the empirical probability distributions of age-specific fertility and survival for both sexes. In each case the size of the simulated population was set equal to the observed census number ($N = 480$ for the Amboseli baboons and $N = 1318$ for the Gainj).

Simulations were initiated under conditions of demographic equilibrium. Survivorship curves for both sexes were used to estimate the stable age distribution, which was then used as a basis for sex and age assignments in the simulations. All newborns in the initial cohort were recorded as members of the same generation, and the numbers of male and female newborns were recorded as census numbers for each sex. Survival and reproduction were assessed over a period equal to the maximum life span recorded for each sex. The probability of reproduction by a given female in each year of that female’s life span was set equal to the age-specific fertility rate. In a similar manner, apportionment of the resultant offspring among males was determined by the normalized probability distribution of age-specific male fertility. Individual identities of newborns were recorded as part of the individual profiles of their parents. For both males and females, the length of each individual’s life span was determined by the age- and sex-specific probability distributions of survival. Deaths were recorded to assess lifetime reproductive success at

the end of each simulation. Annual progeny production was summed over the total number of years comprising each individual’s reproductive life span to obtain the net lifetime production of male and female progeny by parents of each sex. For the Gainj, Wood’s (1987:177) matrimony data were used to determine the number of wives per male as a function of male age. Each simulation stored information on marriage partners for the purpose of apportioning offspring among specific couples.

For each of the two primate populations, N_{eA} was estimated using the Hill (1972, 1979) equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_{eA}} = & \frac{1}{16N_m} \left[2 + \text{var}(X_{mm}) \right. \\ & + 2 \left(\frac{N_m}{N_f} \right) \text{cov}(X_{mm, mf}) \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{N_m}{N_f} \right)^2 \text{var}(X_{mf}) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{16N_f} \left[2 + \text{var}(X_{ff}) \right. \\ & + 2 \left(\frac{N_f}{N_m} \right) \text{cov}(X_{ff, fm}) \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{N_f}{N_m} \right)^2 \text{var}(X_{fm}) \right], \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where N_i is the number of i-sexed individuals entering the breeding population each generation and X_{ij} is the net lifetime production of j-sexed progeny by i-sexed parents (with m and f denoting males and females, respectively). Simulations were repeated 2000 times to investigate the effects of demographic stochasticity on N_e . For each population the same number of simulations were repeated using a uniform distribution of male fertility (i.e., fertility of each age class was set equal to the overall mean). This permitted an evaluation of how N_e was influenced by age-dependent variance in male reproductive success. In order to facilitate comparisons between species, we report estimates of the ratio N_e/N , where N is the census num-

ber of individuals of all ages. We also report estimates of $N_e/N_{(adults)}$, where $N_{(adults)}$ is the census number of breeding adults (the only census number considered in previous studies; Nunney and Elam 1994).

To calculate N_e for X-linked loci, equation 1 was modified to account for the fact that two-thirds of X-linked alleles are carried by females (and transmitted to male and female progeny), whereas one-third are carried by males (and transmitted to female progeny only; Pollak 1990):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_{eX}} = & \frac{1}{9N_m} \left[1 + 2 \left(\frac{N_m}{N_f} \right)^2 \text{var}(X_{mf}) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{9N_f} \left[1 + \text{var}(X_{ff}) \right. \\ & + 2 \left(\frac{N_f}{N_m} \right) \text{cov}(X_{ff, fm}) \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{N_f}{N_m} \right)^2 \text{var}(X_{fm}) \right]. \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Effective sizes for Y-linked and mitochondrial loci were calculated as

$$\frac{1}{N_{eY}} = \frac{\{2 + 2[\text{var}(X_{mm})]\}}{N_m} \quad \text{and} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{N_{eMit}} = \frac{\{2 + 2[\text{var}(X_{ff})]\}}{N_f}, \quad (4)$$

respectively.

Results

Estimates of vital rates for the Amboseli baboons and the Gainj indicated that both populations were approximately stationary in size and age composition (see Alberts and Altmann 2001; Wood 1987). A total of 2000 Monte Carlo simulation trials were used to generate distributions of sex-specific estimates of variance in lifetime reproductive success (Table 1). In the Amboseli baboon population, variance in fitness was much higher among males than among females due to the high age dependence of male mating success (Alberts and Altmann 2001). The resultant estimates of N_e/N averaged 0.329 (SD = 0.116, 95% CI = 0.172–0.537; Table 1 and Figure




Figure 2. Simulation-based estimates of (A) the variance in male fitness and (B) N_e/N for the Amboseli population of savannah baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*). Distributions were generated from 2000 Monte Carlo trials using an individual-based model. Simulations based on the actual vital rates were used to generate the “observed fertility” values. Simulations based on uniform male fertility were used to generate the “uniform fertility” values (see text for details).

2). When age-specific fertility of males was held constant, this mean value increased to 0.360 (SD = 0.299, 95% CI = 0.211–0.564; Figure 2). Thus, N_e/N was reduced by 9% as a result of age-dependent variance in male reproductive success. However, sex differences in life-history variables were not sufficient to cause N_{eX}/N_{eA} , N_{eY}/N_{eA} , or

N_{eMit}/N_{eA} to deviate significantly from Poisson-expected values (Table 2).

In the Gainj population, variance in reproductive success was similar for both sexes. All else being equal, the fact that some men had multiple wives could be expected to increase the variance in male reproductive success relative to that of fe-

males (Wood 1987). However, variance in lifetime reproductive success of both sexes was largely attributable to the stochastic nature of survival during the reproductive phase. Because the reproductive life spans of females were, on average, longer than those of males (due to a younger age at marriage), this source of variance in female reproductive success was sufficient to offset the increased variance in male reproductive success that resulted from polygynous mating. Simulation-based estimates of N_e/N for the Gainj averaged 0.786 (SD = 0.184, 95% CI = 0.498 – 1.115; Table 1 and Figure 3). When age-specific fertility of males was held constant, this mean value increased to 1.107 (SD = 0.299, 95% CI = 0.611 – 1.620; Figure 3). Thus N_e/N was reduced by 41% as a result of age-dependent variance in male reproductive success. In the Gainj, N_{eX}/N_{eA} was reduced below the expected value of 0.75, and both N_{eY}/N_{eA} and N_{eMit}/N_{eA} were decreased below the expected value of 0.25. However, in each case the 95% confidence interval bracketed the Poisson-expected value (Table 2).

Discussion

The estimated ratio of N_e to adult census number (N_{adults}) for the Amboseli baboon population (0.508) was intermediate between estimates obtained for other polygynous species with overlapping generations, such as the short-nosed fruit bat, *Cynopterus sphinx* (N_e/N_{adults} = 0.42; Storz et al. 2001), and the grizzly bear, *Ursus arctos* (N_e/N_{adults} = 0.82; Nunney and Elam 1994). By contrast, N_e/N_{adults} ratio for the Gainj population (1.270) greatly exceeded the range of values (0.25–0.75) that are generally expected for age-structured populations under normal demographic conditions (Nunney 1993, 1996). Moreover, our average simulation-based estimate of N_e/N exceeded the estimate obtained by Wood (1987:181) in his original analysis of the Gainj data (0.786 versus 0.494). There are several explanations for this discrepancy.

In our individual-based simulation model, lifetime reproductive success was measured as the number of newborns produced by each member of a cohort of newborns. By contrast, Wood’s (1987) estimate of variance in lifetime reproductive success considered only those individuals who survived to adulthood. It does not necessarily matter which stage of the life cycle is used as a reference point for measuring lifetime reproductive success so

Table 2. Simulation-based estimates of locus-specific N_e ratios for two primate populations

	N_f/N_m	N_{eX}/N_{eA} (exp. = 0.75)	N_{eY}/N_{eA} (exp. = 0.25)	N_{eMit}/N_{eA} (exp. = 0.25)
<i>P. cynocephalus</i> (Amboseli)	1.065 ± 0.329 (0.618–1.667)	0.740 ± 0.094 (0.598–0.897)	0.229 ± 0.088 (0.129–0.388)	0.289 ± 0.120 (0.138–0.520)
<i>H. sapiens</i> (Gainj)	0.984 ± 0.184 (0.714–1.315)	0.690 ± 0.057 (0.605–0.791)	0.211 ± 0.056 (0.141–0.316)	0.218 ± 0.061 (0.133–0.326)

N_f/N_m is the operational sex ratio (female/male), and N_{eA} , N_{eX} , N_{eY} , and N_{eMit} are the effective population sizes for autosomal, X-linked, Y-linked, and mitochondrial loci, respectively. For each population, estimates of the mean (\pm 1 SD) and the 95% confidence interval (in parentheses) were based on 2000 Monte Carlo trials.




Figure 3. Simulation-based estimates of (A) the variance in male fitness and (B) N_e/N for the Gainj tribe of Papua New Guinea. Distributions were generated from 2000 Monte Carlo trials using an individual-based model. Simulations based on the actual vital rates were used to generate the “observed fertility” values. Simulations based on uniform male fertility were used to generate the “uniform fertility” values (see text for details).

long as the denominator of the ratio N_e/N is chosen accordingly (Nunney and Elam 1994; Rockwell and Barrowclough 1995). Setting N equal to the number of adults recruited into the breeding population, Nunney and Elam (1994:178) applied Wood’s (1987) data to a simplified version of the Hill equation and obtained a revised estimate of $N_e/N_{(\text{adults})} = 1.27$ for the Gainj population. Although Nunney and Elam’s (1994) model was based on the assumption of age-independent survival, age-independent fertility, and invariant life-history schedules for both sexes, their point-estimate of $N_e/N_{(\text{adults})}$ was identical to that obtained by our individual-based model (Table 1).

N_e/N estimates were considerably higher for the Gainj relative to the Amboseli baboon population (mean = 0.786 versus

0.329). This is primarily due to the exceptionally long generation times of the Gainj (38.3 versus 10.1 years for males and 31.3 versus 10.2 years for females). In age-structured populations, N_e is generally more sensitive to changes in parameters related to reproductive success (variances and covariances of net lifetime production of male and female progeny) than to changes in parameters related to recruitment (generation time and the number of males and females attaining sexual maturity each year; Harris and Allendorf 1989; Wood 1987). However, Wood (1987) suggested that recruitment-related parameters are more likely to vary according to local ecological conditions, and may therefore constitute the most important source of interpopulation variation in N_e . Compared with other human populations,

the Gainj are characterized by unusually long generation times and low rates of recruitment (Wood et al. 1985; Wood and Smouse 1982). Thus, our estimate of N_e/N for the Gainj is unlikely to be representative of human tribal populations in general. As stated by Nei (1970:695): “It is apparent that the N_e/N ratio in human populations is never constant either spatially or temporally.”

The above discussion applies to the general case of N_e for autosomal loci. Our estimates of relative N_e values for loci with different modes of inheritance agree with Charlesworth’s (2001) conclusion that sex differences in life-history variables would have to be fairly extreme in order to produce significant departures from Poisson-expected values. Interestingly, locus-specific N_e ratios closely conformed to Poisson-expected values not because variance in male fitness was low, but because variance in female fitness was unexpectedly high (Table 1). Variance in male fitness resulted primarily from age-dependent variance in reproductive success, whereas variance in female fitness resulted primarily from stochastic variance in survival during the reproductive phase.

It is important to note that locus-specific N_e ratios may deviate from Poisson-expected values if male reproductive success is strongly influenced by age-independent phenotypic traits. Indeed, Charlesworth’s (2001) results indicate that age-independent variance in reproductive success (e.g., due to sexual selection) may have profound effects on sex-specific N_e values.

In addition to the effects of sex-differences in life-history variables, relative levels of neutral genetic variation at loci with different modes of inheritance are determined by the effects of sex differences in rates of mutation and migration. With regard to neutral mutation rates in humans, available evidence suggests that the male mutation rate is 1.7–5 times higher than that of females (Anagnostopoulos et al. 1999; Bohossian et al. 2000; Nachman and Crowell 2000). And with regard to migration patterns in humans, available evidence suggests that females are generally more likely than males to leave their birthplace prior to reproduction, at least in agricultural societies characterized by patrilineal inheritance of land holdings (Burton et al. 1996). Consequently mtDNA markers may generally exhibit lower levels of genetic differentiation relative to Y-linked and autosomal markers (Seielstad et al. 1998), although this depends on the geographic scale under consideration

(Mesa et al. 2000; Seielstad 2000). The opposite pattern has been documented in macaques due to a strong degree of female philopatry (Melnick and Hoelzer 1992). In principle, the effects of sex-biased dispersal on patterns of variation at sex-linked loci can be factored in using the “neighborhood adjustment” to N_e (Maruyama 1972; Wright 1943). If samples are obtained from a geographically restricted portion of a species’ range, differences in levels of variation at sex-linked loci may often reflect sex differences in migration (and hence genetic neighborhood size).

Contrasting patterns of sex-biased dispersal are well illustrated by the primate populations chosen for analysis in this study. In the Gainj, prereproductive dispersal is highly female biased (Wood et al. 1985). As stated by Wood (1987:184), “Combining the effects of differential dispersal and differential reproductive heterogeneity, it could be claimed that the male effective population size is considerably smaller than the female effective size in the Gainj.” And with regard to comparative levels of variation at paternally and maternally transmitted genes, “it would be meaningful to predict that the extent of genetic drift is greater in males than in females.” By contrast, in the Amboseli baboon population, prereproductive dispersal is mediated almost exclusively by males (Alberts and Altmann 1995). In this case, sex-specific neighborhood sizes may be more closely similar since the higher reproductive variability in males may be offset by the fact that paternally transmitted genes are also more likely to be of immigrant origin.

References

- Alberts SC and Altmann J, 1995. Balancing costs and opportunities: dispersal in male baboons. *Am Nat* 145: 279–306.
- Alberts SC and Altmann J, 2001. Matrix models for primate life history analysis. In: *Primate life history and socioecology* (Kappeler P and Pereira M, eds). Chicago: University of Chicago Press (in press).
- Anagnostopoulos T, Green PM, Rowley G, Lewis CM, and Gianelli F, 1999. DNA variation in a 5-Mb region of the X chromosome and estimates of sex-specific/type-specific mutation rates. *Am J Hum Genet* 64:508–517.
- Bohossian HB, Skaletsky H, and Page DC, 2000. Unexpectedly similar rates of nucleotide substitution in male and female hominoids. *Nature* 406:622–625.
- Burton ML, Morre CC, Whiting JWM, and Romney AK, 1996. Regions based on social structure. *Curr Anthropol* 37:87–123.
- Caballero A, 1994. Developments in the prediction of effective population size. *Heredity* 73:657–679.
- Charlesworth B, 1994. *Evolution in age-structured populations*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Charlesworth B, 2001. The effect of life-history and mode of inheritance on neutral genetic variability. *Genet Res Camb* 77:153–166.
- Crow JF and Denniston C, 1988. Inbreeding and variance effective population numbers. *Evolution* 42:482–495.
- Emigh TH and Pollak E, 1979. Fixation probabilities and effective population numbers in diploid populations with overlapping generations. *Theor Popul Biol* 15:86–107.
- Felsenstein J, 1971. Inbreeding and variance effective numbers in populations with overlapping generations. *Genetics* 68:581–597.
- Frankham R, 1995. Effective population size/adult population size ratios in wildlife: a review. *Genet Res Camb* 66:95–107.
- Harpending H and Rogers A, 2000. Genetic perspectives on human origins and differentiation. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 1:361–385.
- Harris RB and Allendorf FW, 1989. Genetically effective population size of large mammals: an assessment of estimators. *Conserv Biol* 3:181–191.
- Hedrick PW, 2000. *Genetics of populations*, 2nd ed. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett.
- Hedrick PW and Parker JD, 1997. Evolutionary genetics and genetic variation of haplodiploids and X-linked genes. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 28:55–83.
- Hey J, 1997. Mitochondrial and nuclear genes present conflicting portraits of human origins. *Mol Biol Evol* 14: 166–172.
- Hill WG, 1972. Effective size of populations with overlapping generations. *Theor Popul Biol* 3:278–289.
- Hill WG, 1979. A note on effective population size with overlapping generations. *Genetics* 92:317–322.
- Johnson DL, 1977. Inbreeding in populations with overlapping generations. *Genetics* 87:581–591.
- Jorde LB, 1980. The genetic structure of subdivided human populations: a review. In: *Current developments in anthropological genetics. Volume 1: Theory and methods* (Mielke JH and Crawford MH, eds). New York: Plenum Press; 135–208.
- Kimura M, 1971. Theoretical foundations of population genetics at the molecular level. *Theor Popul Biol* 2:174–208.
- Lande R, 1979. Effective deme size during long-term evolution estimated from rates of chromosomal rearrangement. *Evolution* 33:234–251.
- Lande R and Barrowclough GF, 1987. Effective population size, genetic variation, and their use in population management. In: *Viable populations for conservation* (Soule ME, ed). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 87–123.
- Maruyama T, 1972. Rate of decrease of genetic variability in a two-dimensional continuous population of finite size. *Genetics* 70:639–651.
- Melnick DJ and Hoelzer GA, 1992. Differences in male and female macaque dispersal lead to contrasting distributions of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA variation. *Int J Primatol* 13:379–393.
- Mesa NR, Mondragón MC, Soto ID, Parra MV, Duque C, Ortiz-Barrientos D, García LF, Velez ID, Bravo ML, Múnera JG, Bedoya G, Bertolini M-C, and Ruiz-Linares A, 2000. Autosomal, mtDNA, and Y-chromosome diversity in Amerinds: pre- and post-Colombian patterns of gene flow in South America. *Am J Hum Genet* 67:1277–1286.
- Nachman MW, 2001. Single nucleotide polymorphisms and recombination rate in humans. *Trends Genet* 17: 481–485.
- Nachman MW, Bauer VL, Crowell SL, and Aquadro CF, 1998. DNA variability and recombination rates at X-linked loci in humans. *Genetics* 150:1133–1141.
- Nachman MW and Crowell SL, 2000. Estimate of the mutation rate per nucleotide in humans. *Genetics* 156: 297–304.
- Nei M, 1970. Effective size of human populations. *Am J Hum Genet* 22:694–696.
- Nei M and Tajima F, 1981. Genetic drift and estimation of effective population size. *Genetics* 98:625–640.
- Nunney L, 1993. The influence of mating system and overlapping generations on effective population size. *Evolution* 47:1329–1241.
- Nunney L, 1996. The influence of variation in female fecundity on effective population size. *Biol J Linn Soc* 59:411–425.
- Nunney L and Elam DR, 1994. Estimating the effective size of conserved populations. *Conserv Biol* 8:175–184.
- Pollak E, 1980. Effective population numbers and mean times to extinction in dioecious populations with overlapping generations. *Math Biosci* 52:1–25.
- Pollak E, 1990. The effective size of an age-structured population with a sex-linked locus. *Math Biosci* 101: 121–130.
- Rockwell RF and Barrowclough GF, 1995. Effective population size and lifetime reproductive success. *Conserv Biol* 9:1225–1233.
- Seielstad MT, 2000. Asymmetries in the maternal and paternal genetic histories of Colombian populations. *Am J Hum Genet* 67:1062–1066.
- Seielstad MT, Minch E, and Cavalli-Sforza LL, 1998. Genetic evidence for a higher female migration rate in humans. *Nat Genet* 20:278–280.
- Storz JF, Bhat HR, and Kunz TH, 2001. Genetic consequences of polygyny and social structure in an Indian fruit bat, *Cynopterus sphinx*. II. Variance in male mating success and effective population size. *Evolution* 55: 1224–1232.
- Waite TA and Parker PG, 1996. Dimensionless life histories and effective population size. *Conserv Biol* 10: 1456–1462.
- Wall JD and Przeworski M, 2000. When did the human population size start increasing? *Genetics* 155:1865–1874.
- Wood JW, 1987. The genetic demography of the Gainj of Papua New Guinea. 2. Determinants of effective population size. *Am Nat* 129:165–187.
- Wood JW, Johnson PL, and Campbell KL, 1985. Demographic and endocrinological aspects of low natural fertility in highland New Guinea. *J Biosoc Sci* 17:57–79.
- Wood JW and Smouse PE, 1982. A method of analyzing density-dependent vital rates, with an application to the Gainj of Papua New Guinea. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 57:15–25.
- Wright S, 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. *Genetics* 16:97–159.
- Wright S, 1938. Size of population and breeding structure in relation to evolution. *Science* 87:430–431.
- Wright S, 1943. Isolation by distance. *Genetics* 28:114–138.
- Wright S, 1969. *Evolution and the genetics of populations. Volume 2. The theory of gene frequencies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Wright S, 1977. *Evolution and the genetics of populations. Volume 3. Experimental results and evolutionary deductions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Corresponding Editor: Oliver A. Ryder